

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,479	09/24/2003	Steven G. Goebel	GP-303584	3973
75	90 10/17/2006		EXAM	INER
CARY W. BROOKS			HODGE, ROBERT W	
General Motors				
Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300			1745	
Detroit, MI 48	3265-3000		DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006	б ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ĺ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/669,479	GOEBEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Hodge	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. pply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	<u>August 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 13-42 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-42</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-42</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the pr		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/24/03. 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments in the Appeal Brief, filed 8/21/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 13-22, 24, 28, 31, 33-42 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,681 and 6,974,648.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Objections

Claim 31 is objected to because of the following informalities: The recitation of PEM-type is improper and should first be defined before abbreviating within the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 35, 36, 38, 39 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,479 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

The recitation of "land-to-land contact" in claims 35, 36 and 42 is indefinite because the lands do not and cannot make contact with one another as described in the earlier claims and in applicants' disclosure. There must be a membrane electrode assembly (MEA) disposed between the two flow field plates in order for the fuel cell to actually function as it should, therefore the lands of the two flow field plates cannot make any sort of contact with one another. For purposes of examining the Examiner construes that the "land-to-land contact" must include the MEA and said "contact" would be with the MEA and not the adjacent land.

The recitation of "in phase" and 'out of phase" in claims 38 and 39 respectively is indefinite. Applicants have not provided any drawings that clearly show what is meant by wiggles having "in phase" or "out of phase" alignment nor is there any clear definition found in applicants' disclosure. The Examiner will further clarify in the Prior Art rejection how the terms "in phase" and "out of phase" are construed with respect to the Prior Art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,479

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 13-15, 17, 19, 21, 28, 31 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,544,681 hereinafter McLean.

McLean teaches a proton exchange membrane (PEM) type fuel cell system comprising a membrane electrode assembly (MEA) defining anode and cathodes sides, having a first flow field plate for the cathode side defined by first channels and lands, a second flow field plate for the anode defined by second channels and lands with the MEA interposed between the first and second flow field plates, wherein the pitch of the first flow field plate is less than a pitch of the second flow field plate and said pitch defined by the second flow field plate is approximately twice as large as that defined by the first flow field plate, wherein a substantial number, majority and substantially all of the second lands have a cross sectional width wider than that of a substantial number, majority and substantially all of the first lands. McLean further teaches that the channels are either predominately straight or serpentine in orientation (abstract, figure 3, column 1, line 14 - column 2, line 36, column 3, line 60 - column 4, line 57, column 6, line 50 - column 8, line 42). The Examiner notes that figure 3 is only one of many bipolar plates that are provided within the fuel cell stack and therefore since channels 34 are for Hydrogen and 36 are for Oxygen a MEA would be present on both sides of the plate and subsequent plates would be stacked respectively against the MEAs thereby providing multiple plates as required by the instant claims.

Claims 13-22, 24, 26-28, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. 6,974,648 hereinafter Goebel

Art Unit: 1745

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Goebel teaches a proton exchange membrane (PEM) type fuel cell system provided in a vehicle as the replacement for an internal combustion engine comprising a membrane electrode assembly (MEA) defining anode and cathodes sides, having a first flow field plate for the cathode side defined by first channels and lands, a second flow field plate for the anode defined by second channels and lands with the MEA interposed between the first and second flow field plates, wherein the pitch of the first flow field plate is less than a pitch of the second flow field plate and said pitch defined by the second flow field plate is approximately twice as large as that defined by the first flow field plate, wherein a substantial number, majority and substantially all of the second lands have a cross sectional width wider than that of a substantial number, majority and substantially all of the first lands, wherein a substantial number, majority and substantially all of the first channels define a cross section width approximately equal to the cross section width of a substantial number, majority and substantially all of the second channels, wherein a cross sectional width of the second lands is about 3 times wider than a cross sectional width of the first lands, wherein the thickness of the flow

Application/Control Number: 10/669,479

Art Unit: 1745

field plate is less than 1 mm and the channels are predominately straight (figure 5, column 1, lines 12-23 and column 4, line 9 – column 6, line 67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 16, 18, 20, 22-25, 29, 30 and 32-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLean in view of U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/004158 hereinafter Suzuki.

McLean teaches everything in the above 102 rejection.

McLean does not explicitly teach that the cross sectional width of the first channel is approximately equal to that of the second, or any specific dimensions found in the above listed claims or that further structure comprises structure defining a vehicle

Art Unit: 1745

powered by the fuel cell or how the lands are oriented by angles and how the lands will contact on the surface of the MEA on adjacent sides.

Suzuki teaches a proton exchange membrane fuel cell for an automobile comprising membrane electrode assemblies which have two field flow plates having a plurality of channels wherein a substantial number, a majority and substantially all of the channel widths are approximately equal wherein the channels are designed to be varied in shape and pattern, where the channels can be different sizes, and cross sectional areas that have the same specific dimensions as those claimed in the present application, as well as orienting lands in parallel planes at an angle from 0 to 90 degrees such that a land contact on both sides of the MEA is between 20% and 50% and the pitch of the channels can be varied (figures 3, 4 and 5 and paragraphs [0002], [0023]-[0026], [0056]-[0059], [0063], [0066]-[0070], [0078]-[0079] and [0084]).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the features of the Suzuki reference in the McLean reference in order to provide a fuel cell that would be further optimized by reducing the size of the flow field plate and reduce the amount of material required to manufacture the plate, thereby allowing for a more compact design. As well as providing a fuel cell in a vehicle in order to replace the internal combustion engine that would in turn provide a vehicle that operates using clean energy and reducing pollutants released to the atmosphere.

Claims 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLean in view of U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0041281 hereinafter Wilkinson.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,479

Art Unit: 1745

McLean teaches everything in the above 102 rejection.

McLean does not explicitly teach that the serpentine pattern is either in phase or out of phase.

Wilkinson teaches a proton exchange membrane fuel cell for an automobile comprising membrane electrode assemblies which have two field flow plates having a plurality of channels wherein the channels are designed to be varied in shape and pattern, where the channels can be different sizes, and cross sectional areas that have the same specific dimensions as those claimed in the present application as well as having a sinusoidal shape that can be in phase or out of phase (abstract, figures 2d and 2e, paragraphs [0003], [0007], [0015], [0016], [0028]-[0030], [0035], [0036], [0041], and claims 6, 7 and 11). The Examiner notes that due to the absence of any clear definition in applicants' disclosure of "in phase" or "out of phase" and also the absence of any drawing illustrating the two the Examiner construes figure 2d of the Wilkinson reference to be "in phase" and figure 2e to be "out of phase".

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the teaching of the Wilkinson reference in the McLean reference in order to provide varying serpentine patterns that would provide varying surface contacts that would thereby increase the efficiency of the fuel cell system.

Page 9

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Hodge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Trainer Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on (571) 272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RWH

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER PRIMARY EXAMINER